## AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS & DECISION

Town of Middlesex ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS Public Hearing on <u>January 6</u>, 2022

Applicant:	Lynn B. Lersch	Variance #: 121521-ZBA		
Address:	890 South Lake Road, Middlesex, NY 14507	Zoning District: (LR)		
Telephone		Published Notice(s) on: 12/22/22		
Tax Map #	-	County Referral Deadline: N/A		
Applicable	e Section of Zoning Code: Section #403, Schedule II	County Hearing Date/Response: N/A		
	NATURE OF REQ mber 15, 2021, the applicant requested two (2) var e (1) 6.3 side setback; pursuant to Section #403, So	riances:		
	back from side boundary line.			
	grade to existing generator with similar footprint; quires 60' rear setback.	Section #403, Schedule II the reason for denial is LR		
100	quires ou Tear Setoack.			
FACTORS CONSIDERED:				
1.	Whether an undesirable change would be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties would be created: $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No			
	Reason(s): New generator will be not visible from any	yone passing by		
2.	2. Whether the benefit requested by the applicant could be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant pursue, other than a variance: ☐ Yes ☒ No			
	Reason(s): All connections are available at the position	on requested		
3.	Whether the requested variance is substantial: $\Box$ Y	'es ⊠ No		
	Reason(s): The two variances are not substantial becauther preexisting structures on site.	ause they are consistent with existing setbacks on the site of		
4.	Whether the variance would have an adverse effect or neighborhood or district: $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No	r impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the		
	effect impact on physical or environmental conditions didn't before but the water and snow will melt off it a	e the shed to outside the shed is not going to have an adverse s in the neighborhood. Rain will hit the generator where it and run down towards the house and be absorbed by the fect. The Generator won't be blocking current flow of		
5.	Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created: $\Box$ Y	Yes ⊠ No		
	Reason(s): The project is being done to comply with o	current codes.		

The ZBA, after taking into consideration the above five factors, in a motion made by D. Dimallie, and seconded by Elizabethfinds that:				
☐ The benefit to the Applicant <u>DOES NOT</u> outweigh the detriment to the character, health, safety, and welfare of the neighborhood and therefore the variance request is <u>DENIED</u> .				
NOTE: SEC. 908.0 of the Town of Middlesex, NY Zoning Law states: Any person or persons jointly or severally aggrieved by any decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals, or any officer, department, board or bureau of the Town, may apply to the Supreme Court by proceeding under Article 78 of the Civil Practices Law and Rules. Such action must be instituted within thirty (30) days after the filing of a decision in the Office of the Town Clerk.				
☑ The benefit to the Applicant <u>DOES</u> outweigh the detriment to the character, health, safety, and welfare of the neighborhood and therefore the variance request is <u>GRANTED</u> .				
CONDITIONS:				
The ZBA finds that the following conditions are necessary in order to minimize adverse impacts upon the neighborhood or community:				
Rebecca Parshall 1-6-22				
Chairperson, Zoning Board of Appeals  Date				

## RECORD OF VOTE

	MEMBER NAME	AYE	NAY
Chair	Rebecca Parshall	$\boxtimes$	
Member	Ted Carman	$\boxtimes$	
Member	Richard DeMallie	$\boxtimes$	
Member	Elizabeth Grant	$\boxtimes$	
Member	Win Harper	$\boxtimes$	
Member			

(Version Date 5/11)