AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS & DECISION

MIDDLESEX ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS on <u>August 8, 2019*</u>
*Continuation of Public Hearing from July 18, 2019

NATURE OF REQUEST

Applicant: _James A. Bellis Jr.	Variance No:#062219-Z	
Address: P.O. Box 151, East Bloomfield NY 14443	Zoning District: (LR)	
Telephone:	Published Notice on _DM (07-12-19)	
Property Location: Widmer Road – Tax ID #43.22-1-3	Notice to County sent on <u>N/A</u>	
Applicable Section of Town Zoning Code: <u>Sec. #403, Schedule II</u>	County Hearing held on	
Applicant is requesting Area Variances for the purpose of lo Lake Residential Zoning District. Pursuant to Section #403, front setback, 15 ft. side setback, and rear setback of 60 ft. p side setback, 18.7 feet front setback, and a rear setback infri	Schedule II of local zoning, setback requirements are 40 ft. from centerline of the road. Applicant is requesting 2.75 ft	
FACTORS CO	ONSIDERED:	
1. Whether an undesirable change would be produced in the charawould be created: Yes \underline{X}	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Reasons: Applicant has replaced a pre-existing & non-co	onforming shed without a permit, with a shed that is	
approximately double in size in an already tight, congested neighb	borhood with narrow lots. This action creates further	
congestion and is not in line with the intent of the Town of Middle.	sex Zoning Law, put in place to protect abutting properties	
and any future potential landowner.	<u> </u>	
2. Whether the benefit requested by the applicant could be achiev	ed by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other	
than a variance: Yes X	No	
Reasons: I believe there are other options. It could go back	ck to its' original size, or the applicant could spin the location of	
the shed to create less of a variance infringement and less varianc	ee requests.	
3. Whether the requested variance is substantial: Yes \underline{X}	_ No	
Reasons: The applicant has located a shed on his proper	ty without a permit, doubled the footprint with the new structure	
which has created three substantial variance requests on a very no	arrow lot (40' x 100') in a very congested neighborhood.	
4. Whether the variance would have an adverse effect or impact o	on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood	
or district Yes <u>X</u>	No	

Reasons: Applicant is increasing congestion in an already congested area on the lake which has tremendous weed growth

5. Whether the alleged diffi	culty was self-created: Yes_X_	No	
Reasons: The application	cant has doubled the structure size, have	ing done so without application	for a zoning permit from the
Town. This, in my estimatio	n is the best example of a self-created d	ifficulty brought on by the appl	icant.
DETERM	IINATION OF THE ZBA BA	SED ON THE ABOVE	FACTORS:
The ZBA, after taking into Richard DeMallie, finds t	o consideration the above five factors that:	s, in a motion made by <u>Ted</u>	Carman and seconded by
	e Applicant DOES NOT outweigh th and therefore the variance request(s)		health, safety, and welfare of
Any person or persons joi department, board or bure	NOTE: SEC. 908.0 of the Town of M ntly or severally aggrieved by any de eau of the Town, may apply to the Su Such action must be instituted withi CONDITI	ecision of the Zoning Board of the Zoning Board of the Proceeding in thirty (30) days after the fi	of Appeals, or any officer, under Article 78 of the Civil
The ZBA finds that or community:	nt the following conditions are necess	sary to minimize adverse imp	pacts upon the neighborhood
	Chairperson, Zoning B	Arthur Radin oard of Appeals	<u>August 8, 2019</u> Date
	RECORD O	F VOTE	
	MEMBER NAME	AYE	NAY
Chair	Mr. Arthur Radin, Chair	_ X_	
Member	Mr. Win Harper	X	
Member	Mr. Ted Carman		
Member	Ms. Elizabeth Grant		
Member	Mr. Richard DeMallie	_X	
Member	Ms. Rebecca Parshall (alt.)	absent	
	(Version update:	May, 2011)	

believed to be in part from water run-off from development and density issues.