AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS & DECISION

MIDDLESEX ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS on September 2, 2021

Applicant: _Roberta A. Brink	Variance No:#080721-ZBA
Address: <u>1807 Route #245, Naples NY 14512</u>	Zoning District: (AR)
Telephone: <u>(585)</u> 623-6305	Published Notice on _DM 08/26/21
Property Location: <u>same – Tax ID # 40.00-02-14</u>	Notice to County sent on
Applicable Section of Town Zoning Code: <u>Sec. #403, Schedule II</u>	County Hearing held on
NATURE OF REQU	<u>EST</u>
Applicant is requesting an Area Variance for property located in covered deck and locate it 90 ft. from the front setback of the cent Schedule II of the Zoning Code, 100 feet is required.	<u> </u>
FACTORS CONSI	DERED:
1. Whether an undesirable change would be produced in the character of would be created: Yes No	
Reasons: There will not be an undesirable change in character	or detriment to neighboring parcels, due to the owner's
property is elevated above the road significantly. The west edge of the	porch will be 90 feet from the centerline of the road, which
results to no impact in my opinion.	
2. Whether the benefit requested by the applicant could be achieved by	some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other
than a variance: Yes No _ <u>X</u>	<u>-</u>
Reasons: In viewing the site and where the house is located on	the property, I believe this to be the optimum position for
the porch to be located for the owner's enjoyment and the reasonable u	se of the porch to receive the desired result.
3. Whether the requested variance is substantial: Yes No	_ <u>X</u>
Reasons: <i>I don't believe it is a substantial request as the requi</i>	ired distance is 100 feet, making the variance request only
10% of the total distance required and a reasonable request which abut	tting properties will not see 6 months of the year due to
trees and shrubbery on the property.	
4. Whether the variance would have an adverse effect or impact on the	physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood
or district Yes No	<u>X</u> _
Reasons: <u>Due to the house's elevation from the road, significan</u>	at tree coverage most of the year, steep slopes in the rear,

and the owner's reasonable request to have a porch to enjoy the view from the front of the house, there is no adverse effect or

5. Whether the alleged diffic	ulty was self-created:	Yes_ <i>X</i>	No			
Reasons: Simply bec	ause the variance is requ	iested, it thei	refore is self-cr	eated, however di	ie to the reason	nable request of
the owner to increase the enjo	oyment and pleasure of u	sing their pr	operty, I don't	feel this preclude.	s the granting o	of the variance.
DETERM	INATION OF THI	E ZBA BA	ASED ON T	ΓΗΕ ABOVE	FACTORS	S:
The ZBA, after taking into <i>Win Harper</i> , finds that:	consideration the abov	ve five facto	ors, in a motio	n made by <u>Ted</u>	<i>Carman</i> and s	econded by
☐ The benefit to the A welfare of the neighborhood	applicant <u>DOES NOT</u> of and therefore the var				ealth, safety,	and
Any person or persons jointly or of the Town, may apply to the Su within thirty (30) days after the j	preme Court by proceeding	decision of the under Article	e Zoning Board (78 of the Civil F	of Appeals, or any o		
	applicant <u>DOES</u> outwenborhood and therefore	-			safety, and	
		CONDIT	TIONS:			
The ZBA finds that or community:	the following condition	ons are nece	ssary to minii	mize adverse imp	pacts upon the	neighborhood
					<u>09-02-2</u> Date	
	<u> </u>	RECORD (OF VOTE			
	MEMBEI	R NAME		AYE	NAY	
Chair	Rebecca Parshall,	Chair		<u>X</u>		
Member	Richard DeMallie			<u>X</u>		
Member	<u>Ted Carman</u>			<u>X</u>		
Member	Elizabeth Grant			<u>X</u>		
Member	Win Harper (alt)			<u>X</u>		

impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood.

(Version update: May, 2011)