## AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS & DECISION

MIDDLESEX ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS on <u>December 5, 2019\*</u> \*Continuation of Public Hearing from November 7, 2019

## **NATURE OF REQUEST**

Applicant: _Richard & Lynn Lersch, rep. the Gary P. Ho	offman Mgmt. Trust Variance No:#111319-Z
Address: 867 South Lake Road	Zoning District: (LR)
Telephone: (585) 554-3825	Published Notice on _DM (11-28-19)
Property Location: 890 S. Lake Rd., Tax ID #11.82-1-1	Notice to County sent on N/A
Applicable Section of Town Zoning Code: <u>Sec. #403, Sc</u>	hedule II County Hearing held on N/A
installing a septic system retaining wall 4.8 ft. from and an on-grade stone walkway to access a reside coverage request is for an additional 4% to an exist Lake Residential Zoning District. Pursuant to Section setback, and the minimum lot coverage is 20%.	Lot Coverage Variance. (2) side setbacks are for the purpose of the south property line and 7.1 ft. from the northern property line; ence to be located 2.3 ft. from the northern property line; and lot ing 20.8 % lot coverage, totaling 24.8 % coverage on a parcel in the on #403, Schedule II of local zoning, lot requirements are 15 ft. side (1) Stone Walkway)
FACT	TORS CONSIDERED:
	the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties es $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ No $\underline{X}$
Reasons: The parcel is buffered by natural land	scaping and so the on-grade walkway will not be visible from abutting
neighbors.	
2. Whether the benefit requested by the applicant could	be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other
than a variance:	es No _ <i>X</i>
Reasons: The applicant is moving the access we	ulkway from one side of the house to the other due to the slope
3. Whether the requested variance is substantial:	Yes No _ <u>X</u>
Reasons: _The applicant is locating the new side	ewalk and eliminating the other because the new location has a safer,
gentler grade to access the residence.	
4. Whether the variance would have an adverse effect of	r impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood
or district	Yes No _ <i>X</i>
Reasons: <u>Application shows the engineered site</u>	plan has mitigated all environmental erosion concerns and the walkway

will provide a safer access to the home for future years.

5. Whether the alleged difficu	ulty was self-created: Yes_X N	lo	
Reasons: The applicant could have left the original sidewalk; however, as it will be impeded by the proposed septic field,			
this new entry walkway is a m	ore convenient access to the house.		
DETERMI	NATION OF THE ZBA BAS	ED ON THE ABOVE	FACTORS:
The ZBA, after taking into <u>Richard DeMallie</u> , finds the	consideration the above five factors, at:	in a motion made by Elizat	beth Grant and seconded by
	Applicant DOES outweigh the detrired therefore the variance request(s) a		, safety, and welfare of
Any person or persons join department, board or bured Practices Law and Rules. Soffice of the Town Clerk.	OTE: SEC. 908.0 of the Town of Mid tly or severally aggrieved by any dec au of the Town, may apply to the Sup Such action must be instituted within CONDITIC	cision of the Zoning Board or wreme Court by proceeding to thirty (30) days after the fit DNS:	of Appeals, or any officer, under Article 78 of the Civil ling of a decision in the
or community: * If future	the following conditions are necessa events with water run-off occur from ditional action to mitigate approprie	the proposed walkway on i	the north side, the applicant
-	Chairperson, Zoning Bo		<u>December 5, 2019</u> Date
	RECORD OF  MEMBER NAME	<u>VOTE</u> AYE	NAY
Chair	Mr. Arthur Radin, Chair		
Member	Mr. Win Harper	X	
Member	Mr. Ted Carman		
Member	Ms. Elizabeth Grant	X	
Member	Mr. Richard DeMallie		
Member	Ms. Rebecca Parshall (alt.)		

(Version update: May, 2011)